



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/903,521	07/13/2001	Satoshi Nakamura	325772024100	2646	
25227	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
	MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP		TRAN, N	TRAN, NHAN T	
SUITE 300	BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MCLEAN, V	A 22102		2615		

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/903,521	NAKAMURA, SATOSHI Art Unit	
	Examiner		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Nhan T. Tran	2615	SS
Period for Reply	,, p	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by star Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of the od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commu ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	August 2004.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	· ·		erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6 and 18-20</u> is/are pending in	the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6 and 18-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	Nor alastian requirement		
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	aror election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a		•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			404/4\
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
,	Examiner. Note the attach	ca Office / total of form / 10	102.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have bee	Application No	ge
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachmont/o\			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date	2)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	08) 5) Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15)	4)

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4, 6, 18-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris et al (US 2003/0164884 A1) in view of Wong et al (US 2003/0058355 A1).

Regarding claim 1, Morris discloses a solid-state image sensing apparatus (Fig. 13), comprising:

a solid-state image sensing device (119, Fig. 3) outputting an electrical signal proportional to an intensity of incident light, the solid-state image sensing device configured for outputting a first signal converted linearly to the intensity of the incident light and a second

signal converted natural-logarithmically to the intensity of the incident light (see paragraph [0022]);

a first signal processing circuit (i.e., a first portion of pre-processing circuit 126) supplied with the first signal from the solid-state sensing device and performing double correlated sampling processing (paragraph [0034]);

a second signal processing circuit (i.e., a second portion of pre-processing circuit 126) supplied with the second signal from the solid-state image sensing device and performing double correlated sampling processing (paragraph [0034]).

Morris does not explicitly teach a plurality of color filters provided in the solid-state image sensing device, wherein the first signal and the second signal output from the solid-state image sensing apparatus comprise a plurality of color signals. Morris neither teaches that the first and second signal processing circuits perform white balancing adjustment of the first signal and the second signal, respectively, and wherein a dynamic range of the second signal is adjusted at the second signal processing circuit thereby a contrast of the second signal is improved.

As taught by Wong in Fig. 1 and paragraph [0034], a pre-processing circuit 115 not only performs correlated double sampling and color filter array interpolation (implying that an image sensor has a plurality of color filters provided thereon) but the pre-processing circuit 115 also performs white balancing and gain control for optimizing dynamic range of the image signals.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a plurality of color filters on the image sensor device (119) in a conventional way so as to output the first and second signals in color signals, and further to expand the first and second preprocessing circuits in Morris by incorporating white balancing processing, gain control

Art Unit: 2615

processing in addition to the correlated double sampling so that the dynamic range/contrast of the

output signals are enhanced.

Regarding claim 18, see the analysis of claim 1.

Regarding claim 19, Morris clearly discloses in paragraph [0034] that signal processing circuit further comprising: a first signal processing circuit receiving the first signal from the solid-state image sensing device and performing at least a portion of the first predetermined signal processing; and a second signal processing circuit receiving the second signal from the solid-state image sensing device and performing at least a portion of the second predetermined signal processing.

Regarding claim 20, Morris further discloses the signal processing circuit comprising a third signal processing circuit (processing circuit 148) receiving the first and second signals processed by the first and second signal processing circuits (a first portion and a second portion of signal conditioning circuits 126 including noise filtering), respectively, and performing at least a portion of the first and second predetermined signal processing on the first and second signals (see Fig. 13; paragraph [0037] and note that the signal processing circuit 148 is coupled to the pre-processing circuit 126 to perform a part of *further* processing of the first and second signals).

Art Unit: 2615

3. Claims 2, 4 & 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morris et al. and Wong et al. as applied to claim 1 and in further view of D'Luna et al (US 5,008,739).

Regarding claim 2, the combination of Morris and Wong discloses the imaging sensing apparatus having all features as analyzed in claim 1. Morris further discloses a third signal processing circuit (148) to process signals output from the first and second signal processing circuits as analyzed in claim 20.

Morris and Wong fail to disclose a logarithmic/linear conversion circuit for converting a signal output from the second signal processing (in logarithmic mode) to a signal linearly proportional to the intensity of the incident light before inputting to the third signal processing circuit. D'Luna teaches a log to linear conversion circuit to convert the logarithmic signal to a linear signal for further processing at subsequent states (i.e., black level clamping) since the subsequent processes are desirable completed in linear space, where the adjustments will be direct, linear relation to the charge signal amplitudes existing on the image sensor (see col. 6, lines 1-11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a log to linear conversion circuit on the output of the second signal processing circuit in Morris to convert the logarithmic signal to a linear signal before outputting the signal to the third signal processing for further processing such as black clamping which would require the signal in a linear space, where the adjustments would be direct, linear relation to the charge signal amplitudes existing on the image sensor.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claim 4, both Wong and D'Luna further teach a gamma correction that would be included in the first processing circuit (for linear characteristics) and the second processing circuit (for logarithmic characteristics) for correcting gamma of the first signal and second signals, respectively. See Wong, paragraph [0-034] and D'Luna, col. 2, lines 18-21.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to enable gamma corrections in both the first and second processing circuits in Morris in addition to the existing circuits for further improving the first and second signals.

Regarding claim 6, see the analyses of claims 1 & 2.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 605-4246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's acting supervisor, Thai Tran can be reached on (703) 305-4725. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NT.